

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,964 05/30/2001		05/30/2001	Kahoru Tsujimoto	2001-0210A	3465	
513	7590 08/08/2005			EXAMINER		
	. *	ND & PONACK, I	JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE			
2033 K STR SUITE 800	EET N.	W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DO	C 20006-1021	1744			
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	09/763,96	34	TSUJIMOTO ET A	AL.				
	Omce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Krisanne .		1744					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ldress				
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION CO	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the statueriod will apply and withtatute. cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin itory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	19 January 200s	5.						
	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the									
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from cor							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have beer nents have beer priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been received e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	· ·				· .				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔯 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/2005</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)				

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

This action follows based on the grant of the Petition to Withdraw from Issue to file this RCE and have the IDS of 1/19/2005 fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/763,964

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohama et al., U.S. patent No. 5,436,268 in view of Kunze U.S. patent No. 5,230,867.

Ohama et al., teach substantially the invention as claimed namely an antimicrobial material including a base carrier material impregnated with an antimicrobial agent and coated in a manner such that it is encapsulated in an air permeable material. The encapsulated antimicrobial material is further contained in a container means having pores or apertures capable of passing antimicrobial vapor and covered with means to contain those vapors until needed. Ohama et al., teach the application of such apparatus within food processing devices, including those for cooling. Ohama et al., does not specifically teach the cover being a peelable film. See column 6, column 11, line 20 through column 12, line 15, column 15 and column 20, lines 49-60.

Kunze et al., teach a packaging system for controlled-release delivery of treating vapors wherein a porous pad containing the agent is located in a container and covered by a vapor permeable, and therefor apertured top surface means (3). The top surface means (3) being covered at a location spaced therefrom, by a peelable impermeable cover means. The container is placed in the area to be treated, the cover peeled off and the vapor diffuses to the surrounding area at a controlled rate. Kunze et al., teaches controlled release of aromatics.

It would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to contain the antimicrobial means of Ohama et al., within a system such as taught in Kunze et al., with the inclusion of an aromatic as well, because it would provide for the

effective controlled release of the antimicrobial to optimize shell life within a refrigerator while simultaneously counteracting any unpleasant odor that may accompany the antimicrobial activity.

With respect to claim 4, Ohama et al., teach the addition of a resin to the antimicrobial formulation.

With respect to claims 8 and 9, Ohama et al., further teach the inclusion of an aromatic of the herbal type (see column 4, lines 34-40) and it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to choose a citrus type as well, because of it's known and expected compliment to food uses.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on 571-272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,964

Art Unit: 1744

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krisanne (lastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

August 3, 2005